Pending projects of fishing harbour of Maharashtra

2275. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) what are the Fishing Harbour Projects from Maharashtra State pending with Government of India for approval; and

(b) what is Government's reaction regarding each of the projects?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI SHYAM LAL YADAV): (a) A Statement is enclosed. (*See* below)

(b) Proposals received from the Government of Maharashtra were either technically deficient or based on old schedule of rates and hence had to be referred back to the State Government.

Statement

The present position regarding proposals for fishing harbours and fish landing centres referred to by the Government of Maharashtra is as follows.—

Name of Project	Present Position
I. FISHING HARBOURS :	
1. Agardanda	Due to high estimated cost, the project could not be accommodated within the outlay allocated in VI and VII Plans. In order to explore possibility of having foreign assistance, State Government has been requested to update the report. The updated reported is awaited.
2. Satpati	Updated project report is awaited from the State Government.
3. Revas	Proposal is kept in abeyance; to be reviewed when the number of fishing vessels calling at Sassoon Dock exceeds 700.
4. Vengurla	Project is not economically viable. Hence not considered.
5. Deogarh (Deogad)	Proposal was not considered due to constraints of funds.
6. Padve	Proposal was found technically deficient, State Government was requested to recast the estimates in 1985. Presently the proposal cannot be considered due to constraints of funds.
II. FISH LANDING CENTRES :	
(i) Jeevne Bunder	Proposal was technically incomplete. State Government requested to recast the estimate in 1982. Report awaited.
(ii) Agrao	The proposal received in January, 1986 was based on schedule of rates of 1983-84. Hence State Government have been requested to send us proposal based on the latest schedule of rates.
(iii) Sakhari Nate Sarjekot to (vi) Rajpuri and Trombay	The proposals were found technically deficient. The State Government was requested to recast the same. Report is awaited.